



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/768,887	02/02/2004	Michael J. Curtis	119-P-05	7932
40801	7590 01/27/2006		EXAMINER	
NICHOLAS A. BRANNEN			STEWART, ALVIN J	
	MAIN STREET, SUITE 30 AC. WI 54935	0	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			3738	

DATE MAILED: 01/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/768,887	CURTIS, MICHAEL J.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Alvin J. Stewart	3738				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apportant of the second	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONEE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
, _	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	*					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18 and 29-33</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18 and 29-33</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 February 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/19/5; 1/24/5. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/768,887

Art Unit: 3738

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "a first prosthetic component" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. The Applicant's representative discloses the first prosthetic component previously in the preamble of the claim.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "a second prosthetic component" in line 6. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the distance" in line 11. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 3 recites the limitation "the first selected position" in lines 2 & 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 3 recites the limitation "the second selected position" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Regarding claim 4, claim 4 has the same problems as claim 1. Correction is required.

The above criticisms are not exhaustive. Applicant should carefully revise the claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/768,887

Art Unit: 3738

Claim Objections

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: in line 7, the word "holt" is misspelled. Line 12 should said ---said second end---, instead of "said second". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 2 is objected to because of the following informalities: the "third selected position" and the "fourth selected position" are objected because the Applicant's representative has not disclosed a first and second selected positions. Appropriate correction is required.

The above criticisms are not exhaustive. Applicant should carefully revise the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-12, 14-17 and 29-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Garcia US Patent 3,400,408.

Garcia discloses a prosthesis comprising a first end (45), a first prosthetic component (60), a second end (44), a second prosthetic component (30) and a main body (40) between the first end and the second end.

Regarding the distance between the first component and the second component, Figure 3 clearly discloses the wherein clause. The distance is partially the selected length of the main body.

Application/Control Number: 10/768,887

Art Unit: 3738

Regarding claim 10, the examiner has interpreted the claims as following: socket (92), a first prosthetic component (90), a sleeve module (60), a spacer module (46), a second prosthetic component (20) and a foot (5).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 13, 18 and 31-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Garcia US Patent 3,400,408.

Garcia discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Garcia does not disclose a second spacer module having a second length and at least one al threaded end.

At the time the invention was made, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the spacer module of the Garcia reference by adding a second spacer module in order to increase the length of the prosthesis or adding external threads because Applicant has not disclosed that by adding a second spaced module with external threads provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected Applicant's invention to perform equally well with the spaced module of the Garcia reference because it would perform equally as well.

Therefore, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify the Garcia reference to obtain the invention as specified in claims 13, 18 and 31-33.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Page 5

examiner should be directed to Alvin J. Stewart whose telephone number is 571-272-4760. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00AM-5:30PM(1 Friday B-week off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on 571-272-4754. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ALVIN J. STEWART

A. Strut

January 12, 2005.